

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,398	01/05/2004	Christopher N. Gab	3172 PUS 3585		
Konstantine J.	7590 03/02/2007 Diamond	EXAMINER			
4010 E. 26th St	treet		AYRES, TIMOTHY MICHAEL		
Los Angeles, CA 90023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
10/752,398	GAB, CHRISTOPHER 1	GAB, CHRISTOPHER N.			
Examiner	Art Unit				
Timothy M. Ayres	. 3637				

	Timothy W. Ayres	•	3037	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet v	with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	dment, aff al fee) in d	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $4$ months from the mailing date			•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing	date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		WIILLY 1110	. TINOT NEI ET WAOT	ICCO WITTING
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding thortened statutory period for than three months after the	ng amount r reply origi	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	. •			•
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further conto</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search w);	(see NO	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or				the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of	finally rej	ected claims.	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	:·		•	
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of d sufficient reasons why t	filing a N the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un y and was not earlier pres	nder appe sented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the clair	ns after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the app	plication i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	)		
13.  Other:			100	
The		,	ANET M. WILKENS	`
The 3/1/07	· .	PF 2	RIMARY EXAMINE	; ₹ .
•	•	0'	H13632	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not found persuasive. The examiner considers the lip (A', 28) to not be functional and to be excess from forming the joint. The examiner contends that removing the lip (28) after manufacture will not significally weaken the strength of the pallet since there still will be plenty of material left that is joining the two sheets together at this joint. The added step of shaving off the lip is also not considered a significant process to deter from the advantages of a twin-sheet thermoforming.